YANG ET AL. 09/650,195 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin Bates 2155 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Glen Schneider. (1) Kevin Bates. (4)_____. (2) Saleh Najjar. Date of Interview: 09 August 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____. Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 2. Identification of prior art discussed: Humphery. Agreement with respect to the claims fi was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 10 was discussed in respect to what is disclosed in the reference Humphrey. and the applicant agreed to clear up the wording in claim 10 and add some limitations of claim 10 into claim 1, and the examiner agreed to reconsider the reference in light of the clearification. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.

Application No.

Applicant(s)